

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/036,819	12/21/2001	Colleen E. Hayes	NLIGHTS-06903	6475	
75	90 11/27/2002				
MEDLEN & CARROLL, LLP			EXAMINER		
Suite 350 101 Howard Str		QAZI, SABIHA NAIM			
San Francisco,	CA 94105		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1616			
		DATE MAILED: 11/27/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No		Applicant(s)			
		10/036,819		HAYES ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Sabiha Naim C		1616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status	Pennancia to communication(s) filed on 05	April 2002					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u>	nis action is non-	final				
2a)☐	,—			occoution on to th	o morite is		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 21-44 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>21-44</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
,	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requir	ement.				
Application	-	•					
9)[] 7	he specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be h	eld in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)⊠ T	he proposed drawing correction filed on 21 De	<i>ecember 2001</i> is	: a)∏ approved b)⊠ disapproved	by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office a	ction.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	4) _ 5) _ 2. 6) _	<u> </u>	(PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT			

Application/Control Number: 10/036,819

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

This application is a continuation of 09/036,819, filed on 12/21/1999, now US Patent 6,358,939. The invention of claims 21-44 is drawn to methods of treating inflammatory bowel disease or its symptoms by using vitamin D compound. The inflammatory bowel disease is selected from ulcerative colitis and Crohn's disease.

Preliminary amendments are entered.

Claims 21-44 are pending. No claim is allowed.

Double Patenting

1. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefore ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer cannot overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 21-28 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-8 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,358,939. This is a double patenting rejection. Method of treating inflammatory bowel disease, ulcerative colitis and Crohn's disease by vitamin D3 has been patented; the same invention is instantly claimed in claims 21-28.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patent ability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

Application/Control Number: 10/036,819

Art Unit: 1616

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

 Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patent ability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 21-44 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Snowden (US Patent 6,214,373). The reference teaches a nutritional composition of vitamin D and method for treating inflammatory bowel disease such as Crohn's disease or ulcerative colitis, which embraces instantly, claimed invention. See the entire document especially lines 59-65, col. 1; lines 27-59, col. 2; lines 9-54, col. 4; lines 1-30, col. 5; Tables 1 and 2 and claims.

Instant claims differ from the reference in claiming the method of use of specific vitamin D compounds, vitamin D2 and 19-nor vitamin D3 whereas prior art teaches any vitamin D compound. Example of the instant invention and prior art teach 1alpha, 25 hydroxy vitamin D3.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to prepare additional beneficial composition for the treatment of inflammatory bowel disease such as ulcerative colitis and Crohn's disease because by the disclosure of prior art one would be motivated to do so. Since there is no data for any of the vitamin D2 or 19-nor vitamin D3 in specification, the instant invention is considered obvious. Claims drawn to transdermal patch, suppository and other limitations cited in claims are conventional and would have been obvious to one skilled in the art. Note, instant invention example is by oral administration and prior art teaches as nutrition composition.

Application/Control Number: 10/036,819 Page 4

Art Unit: 1616

In the light of the forgoing discussion, the Examiner's ultimate legal conclusion is that the subject matter defined by the instant claims would have been obvious within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sabiha Naim Qazi whose telephone number is 703-305-3910. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jose Dees can be reached on 703-308-4628. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4556 for regular communications and 703-308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

November 18, 2002

SABIHA QAZI, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER